

REMARKS

This paper provides an amendment accompanying a request for continued examination. This paper follows a final Office action rejecting all the claims of the application, i.e. claims 1 and 3-19, and also follows a telephone conversation with the Examiner on October 15, 2007. With this paper, claims 1, 11 and 19 are amended; support for the amendment can be found in the specification on page 7, lines 22-27. No new matter has been introduced by way of amendment.

During the telephone interview applicant's agent was advised that incorporating into the main claims a further definition of how the rotational force is detected would be sufficient to distinguish from the art of record and would likely result in allowance. The amendment provided by this paper is believed to incorporate the limitations suggested by the Examiner.

Accordingly, applicant requests that all rejections of record be withdrawn. Passage to issue is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

10.17.07

Date



Cathy A. Sturmer
Agent for the Applicants
Registration No. 60,869

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468-0224

tel: (203) 261-1234
Cust. No.: 004955